

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
MJacobs@mofo.com
2 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)
AGonzalez@mofo.com
3 ERIC A. TATE (CA SBN 178719)
ETate@mofo.com
4 RUDY Y. KIM (CA SBN 199426)
RKim@mofo.com
5 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
6 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: 415.268.7000
7 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

8 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
kdunn@bsfllp.com
9 HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)
hhume@bsfllp.com
10 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.
11 Washington DC 20005
Telephone: 202.237.2727
12 Facsimile: 202.237.6131

13 WILLIAM CARMODY (*Pro Hac Vice*)
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
14 SHAWN RABIN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
srabin@SusmanGodfrey.com
15 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
16 New York, NY 10019-6023

17 Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
18 and OTTOMOTTO LLC

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
21 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

22 WAYMO LLC,
23 Plaintiff,
24 v.
25 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
26 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,
27 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DEFENDANTS UBER
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
FILE UNDER SEAL JOINT
RESPONSES TO COURT'S
QUESTIONS 3 AND 4 FOR FURTHER
HEARING ON MOTION TO STRIKE
ASSERTED TRADE SECRET
NUMBER 96**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC (“Defendants”) submit this motion for an order to file under seal portions of their Joint Responses to Court’s Questions 3 and 4 for Further Hearing on Motion to Strike Asserted Trade Secret Number 96. Specifically, Defendants request an order granting leave to file under seal the confidential portions of the following documents:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Joint Responses to Court’s Questions 3 and 4 for Further Hearing on Motion to Strike (“Joint Responses”)	Highlighted Portions	Plaintiff (green)
Exhibit A	Entirety	Plaintiff Defendants

The entirety of Exhibit A contains highly confidential information regarding the technical details of Uber’s LiDAR systems. This highly confidential information is not publicly known, and its confidentiality is strictly maintained. Disclosure of this information could allow competitors to obtain a competitive advantage over Uber by giving them details into the technical features of Uber’s LiDAR sensors, such that Uber’s competitive standing could be significantly harmed. (Declaration of Michelle Yang in Support of Defendants’ Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal (“Yang Decl.”) ¶ 3.)

The green-highlighted portions of the Joint Responses and the entirety of Exhibit A contains information that has been designated “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” by Waymo in accordance with the Patent Local Rule 2-2 Interim Model Protective Order (“Protective Order”), which the parties have agreed governs this case (Transcript of 3/16/2017 Hearing, page 6). Defendants file this material under seal in accordance with Paragraph 14.4 of the Protective Order. (Yang Decl. ¶ 4.)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(2), Defendants will lodge with the Clerk the documents at issue, with accompanying chamber copies.

1 Defendants served Waymo with this Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
2 Seal on September 5, 2017.

3 For the foregoing reasons, Defendants request that the Court enter the accompanying
4 Proposed Order granting Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal and
5 designate the service copies of these documents as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
6 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

7
8 Dated: September 5, 2017

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

9
10 By: /s/ Arturo J. González
ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ

11 Attorneys for Defendants
12 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
13 OTTOMOTTO LLC
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28